UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,525	06/28/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0843	8191
53184 7590 04/24/2009 i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC.		9	EXAMINER	
ONE i2 PLACE	E, 11701 LUNA ROAD		CHEN, TE Y	
DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/895,525	TENORIO, MANOEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUSAN Y. CHEN	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	bruary 2009.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	· <u> </u>					
	oloolon roquiromonic.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite				

## Response to Amendment

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Feb. 16, 2009 has been entered.

Claims 1-37 are pending for examination; claims 1, 9, 12, 20, 23, 31 and 34-37 have been amended.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-29 and 31-37, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Call (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,738).

As to claims 1, 12, 23, and 34-37, Call discloses a computer-implemented system with method and executable program products for categorizing product data in an electronic commerce transaction [e.g., Abstract, Fig(s). 1-8 and associated texts] as claimed by applicant, comprising the following functions:

a global content directory server [e.g., the Internet light weight directory server at Abstract, lines 6-7, the shared sales Internet server at Abstract, line 16] coupled with one or more seller databases over a network [e.g., the product/retail/reseller information databases via the Internet such as the units: 103, 105, 107, 120, etc, Fig. 1], the global content directory server comprising:

a stored data association module configured to [e.g., the "Web register" module at Abstract, lines 14-20, Fig(s). 1- 8 and associated texts]:

a) access a first product classification schema, the first schema comprising a taxonomy that comprising a hierarchy of classes for categorizing one or more products [e.g., the use of cross-reference product servers at Abstract, line 18, etc., col. 11, lines 65- col. 12, lines 46], the first schema further comprising ontology associated with one or more of the classes [e.g., the use of XML metadata & Document Type Descriptor techniques at col. 25, lines 26 – 34, 53-66], each ontology comprising one or more product attributes, wherein each of the one or more products is associated with a global unique identifier [e.g., the use of universal product code cross-referencing schema with domain name services over an Internet at col. 21, lines 6 – col. 22, lines 53, Fig 6 and associated texts];

Art Unit: 2161

Page 4

- b) access target data to be associated with the first schema, the target data organized according the a second product classification schema [e.g., col. 22, lines 55—col. 26, lines 27, the browser navigation processing of Fig. 6 and associated texts];
- c) determine one or more classes of the first schema with which at least a portion of the target data is associated based on a comparison between the target data and the product attributes of the ontologies of the first schema or between the target data and values for one or more of the product attributes of the ontologies of the first schema [e.g., the use of cross-reference server at Fig(s). 3-4 and associated texts];
- d) associate the at least a portion of the target data with one or more classes of the first schema in response to determine, based on the automatic comparison, the one or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data should be associated. [e. g., the use of WWW consortium search engines with XML, Xsl, Xpointer, XLink indexing schema at col. 25, lines 35 col. 26, lines 27, col. 33, lines 1-12]; and
- e) store the values for the one or more of the product attributes of the ontologies of the first schema with which the target data is compared in one or more seller databases [e.g., col. 13, lines 45 col. 14, lines 2, Fig(s). 3-7 and associated texts].

.

As to claims 2-6, 13-17 and 24-28, except the limitations recited in claims 1, 12, and 23, Call further discloses that the determining one or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data is associated comprises identifying a portion of the target data via matching the name, the value, a range of

value, the symbol and formatting of a product attribute in the ontology of these one or more classes of the first schema [e.g., Call: Fig. 2 and associated texts, col. 11, lines 65 – col. 12, lines 57].

As to claims 7, 18 and 29, Call further discloses that determining one or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data is associated comprises using vector space analysis to identify multiple portions of the target data including values that correspond to values for multiple product attributes included in the ontologies of these one or more classes of the first schema [e.g., the use of LDAP in the product code cross-referencing schema in a vector domain name space col. 20, lines 30 - col. 21, lines 4].

As to claims 9-11, 20-22 and 31-33, Call further discloses using indicators to determine the association between the system classes and it's attributes and the indicators are pointers [e.g., the use of Xlinks, Xpointers of XML document at col. 24, lines 45-col. 25, line 13].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (Continue)

Claims 8, 19 and 30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Call et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,738) in view of Sahai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,272,626).

Art Unit: 2161

As to claims 8, 19 and 30, Call did not specifically disclose determining one or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data is associated comprising using statistical correlation techniques to identify portions of the target data including values that correspond to values for a product attribute included in the ontologies of these one or more classes of the first schema.

However, Sahai et al. (hereinafter referred as Sahai) discloses using statistical correlation techniques to identify portions of the target data including values as claimed [e.g., Title, Abstract, col. 3, lines 21- col. 4, lines 34, Fig(s) 4-9 and associated texts].

Call and Sahai are of the same field of endeavor to dynamically identify target data with a product classification schema via the use of a global unique identifier in the XML document, hence, it would have been obvious for an ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the statistical technique disclosed by Sahai into the system of Call, because by doing so, the combined system will be upgraded to use the well-known statistical correlation techniques to identify portions of the target data including values that correspond to values for a product attribute included in the ontologies of these one or more classes of the first schema, such that the combined system will provide a more precise response to the user in a decentralized manner.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on Feb 21, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2161

As to claims 1-11 and 34-35, the arguments against the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections of these claims have been fully considered, however, it is not persuasive. Because applicant failed to defined the metes and bounds of the claimed a global content directory server, which could represent a conceptual Internet server having software only. Thus, the rejections on record are maintained.

Page 7

The examiner disagrees with applicant's arguments that Call failed to disclose the claimed "data association module" which is configured to access the claimed "a first product classification schema".

In replay to applicant's arguments, the examiner first points out that applicant failed to clearly define the metes and bounds of the claimed "a first product classification schema", as such, it is widely open for reasonable art interpretation.

In addition, the examiner directs applicant's attention to the following excerpts and figures disclosed by Call:

For example, at the Abstract, lines 14-20 of Call's invention, he clearly disclosed the claimed "data association module" [e.g., "Web register" module] which is configured to access the claimed a first product classification schema via a plurality of cross-reference server [e.g., the product servers at Abstract, line 18, etc. & col. 11, lines 65-col. 12, lines 46].

Furthermore, at col. 11, lines 65 - col. 12, lines 46, Call clearly cited the following:

"By way of example, in the bookselling industry, publishers, distributors, retailers, and libraries often require a database of bibliographic information which consists, for each book, of the book title, author name(s), publisher's name, publication date,

Art Unit: 2161

type of book (hardcover, paperback, etc.), page count, recommended retail price(s), and ISBN number (which takes the form of a subpart of the EAN universal product code). To the extent the content and format of data records which describe particular classes of products in particular industries and trade groups have been previously adopted and placed in widespread use, those structured data records may advantageously be made available utilizing the present invention. This is preferably achieved in two ways: a data record (file) containing such field-structured information about each product which is designated by a universal product code is placed by the manufacturer in the directory it creates for that product. This structured data record is given a filename indicative of the format used to store the structured data. For example, each directory bearing a name corresponding to the EAN number for a book would preferably contain a file named "biblio.dat" which contains a single structured record containing bibliographic data describing that book."

"In addition, the manufacturer would place a combined file, also called "biblio.dat" in its root backslash.upcinfo" directory which contains all of the records for all of the products individually described in the subdirectories which have that structure in a single file. For most manufacturers, these structured data files, both individual record files in the subdirectories and the combined file in the root directory, may be automatically created and updated on a periodic or dynamic basis from the content of the manufacturer's existing database. The use of a single combined file at each server permits multimanufacturer databases to be created by first retrieving the IP-addresses of all or part of the cross-reference table 215, and then retrieving and merging the combined data files from the "/upcinfo"

Art Unit: 2161

directories from each identified server.

Alternatively, when information about all of a given manufacturer's products of a given type is not desired, the needed individual structured data files can be retrieved from the individual product directories."

"As described later in more detail, the information which the manufacturer makes available can advantageously be stored using the eXtensible Markup Language (XML), which is also well suited for providing metadata which defines and describes the meaning of the various kinds of information that can be provided about individual products, groups of products, and the manufacturers and distributors from which those products are obtained."

As set forth above, Call clearly depicted that his system accessed a first product classification schema by using the content and format of data records as stored XML metadata. Wherein, the first schema is deemed to include a taxonomy [e.g., "/upcinfo" directories] which included a hierarchy of classes for categorizing one or more products [e.g., the manufacturer would place a combined file, also called "biblio.dat" in its root /upcinfo" directory which contains all of the records for all of the products individually described in the subdirectories which have that structure in a single file.] In addition, the use of a hierarchical domain name product code cross-referencing schema at col. 21, lines 6 – col. 22, lines 53, and Fig. 6 also disclosed the claimed limitations.

Moreover, the use of XML metadata & Document Type Descriptor (DTD) object oriented modeling process in a Resource Description Framework (RDF) at col. 25, lines 26 – 34, 53-66 further described the claimed limitations. As such, in contrary to applicant's arguments, Call clearly anticipated the claimed invention.

In replay to the arguments against the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections:

As discussed above, because the Office action have clearly addressed the features as recited in claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-29 and 31-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and combined the art of Sahai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,272,626) to successfully build a reasonable Prima Facie case for the claims 8, 19 and 30, for which applicant arguments based on the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is not persuasive.

Thus based on the discussion above, because applicant does not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, applicant does not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. The examiner concludes that the prior art read on the claimed features.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUSAN Y. CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mofiz Apu can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,525 Page 11

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Susan Y Chen/ Partial Sig. Examiner Art Unit 2161

April 24, 2009

/Apu M Mofiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161